On February 13, defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) and (3). A hearing was held on defendants' motion to dismiss on March 1. Two issues raised in the briefs were not adequately addressed therein or at the hearing. Accordingly, counsel shall provide supplemental briefs of no more than five pages by NOON ON MARCH 12, 2012, limited to the following issues:

25

26

27

28

1	1. Defendants' motion alleges valid and enforceable forum selection
2	clauses contained in defendants' custody agreements with the LACERA,
3	Stanislaus County, and San Diego County funds.
4	(a) Do plaintiffs dispute the existence of valid,
5	enforceable forum selection clauses in the governing agreements?
6	(b) Counsel shall provide authority for why the clauses
7	should or should not be enforced where an action is brought on
8	behalf of the parties pursuant to the CFCA.
9	(c) Do the parties dispute enforceability of the forum
10	selection clauses over non-CFCA state law claims? If so, counsel
11	shall submit relevant authority to support their respective
12	positions.
13	2. Defendants' motion further alleges the existence of a mandatory
14	dispute resolution clause in their custody agreement with LACERA.
15	(a) Do plaintiffs dispute the existence of a valid,
16	enforceable, mandatory dispute resolution clause in the governing
17	agreement between LACERA and defendants?
18	(b) Each party shall submit signed affidavits and
19	supporting documents showing what dispute resolution processes,
20	if any, have been employed, and if any of these processes are
21	pending.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	, m M.
25	Dated: March 6, 2012.
26	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	